Discussion of 'The Business Investment Response to the Domestic Production Activities Deduction'

Owen Zidar Chicago Booth and NBER

NTA 107th Conference on Taxation

November 2014

Interesting paper!

- Important Question: How do investment rates respond to lower taxes?
- Clever Idea: Compare responses of firms with different treatment intensities
- **9 Provocative Results:** Large investment responses
 - "This large response suggests DPAD, or more generally ↓ τ^c, is an investment stimulus policy far superior to other inventive such as the Bush Tax Cuts and Bonus Depreciation."

Key Issue: what are counterfactual investment rates?

QPAI by Sector



Price Index by Type: 1980-2012



Key Issue: what are counterfactual investment rates?

Baseline Investment Rate: $\frac{I}{K} = \delta$



Approaches in Paper

- Include firm and year fixed effects
- Include controls
- Include industry fixed effects
- Compare responses by group (e.g. small, young firms to large, old ones)
- Try to measure and adjust for cyclical sensitivity
 - Drop extreme beta industries
 - Control for beta
 - Use residual investment

Suggestion #1: Show your cyclical adjustments graphically

Suggestion #2: Help reader compare estimates

Simplified Model for firm investment rate:

$$\frac{I_t}{K_{t-1}} = b_0 + b_1 \text{TaxDeduction} + b_2 \text{Controls} + e$$

Baseline Result:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\frac{b_1}{\mu}}{.09} = \frac{\frac{.14}{.45}}{.09} = \frac{.31}{.09} \approx 3.5$$

Other Specifications:

• Numerator varies across specifications

■ Log Investment 2005-2008: $\frac{.012}{.45} \approx .026 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \approx 0.3$

2 Industry FX:
$$\frac{.55}{.45} \approx 1.2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \approx 13.6$$

Sesidual Investment: $\frac{6.96}{.45} \approx 15.4 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \approx 171.9$

• Not clear denominator should be .09 \Rightarrow larger ε

Suggestion #3: Show aggregate results



Owen Zidar Chicago Booth and NBER

Suggestion #3b: Show aggregate results by type



Owen Zidar Chicago Booth and NBER

- Show raw data for 1990-2000 placebo and treatment
- Or Theory on π'(I) = x. May have different π(I) functions or be at different parts of this function so comparative statics not as obvious
- Test explanation why nontaxable group shows bigger effect (i.e. see if prior investment was higher)
- How big would adjustment costs have to be to rationalize your effects for constrained firms? Is this plausible?
- Defend not clustering standard errors at industry level (with industry level DPAD shock)
- Minor: fix definition of d in theory section