Discussion of Catherine, Miller, and Sarin "Social Security Trends in Inequality"

> Owen Zidar Princeton and NBER

BYU Red Rock Conference 2020

September 10, 2020

Excellent paper! Clearly qualitatively correct

Clever approach to address central question: how does social security wealth affect wealth inequality?

- Use recent parameter estimates to simulate income process
- Code up social security policy calculator
- Combine with counts and wealth data from SCF to estimate Social Security wealth

② Provides valuable estimates of size and distribution of social security wealth

- Thoughtful consideration of several issues (e.g., risk, inflation, etc)
- Emphasis on falling interest rates

Bottom line:

- 34 to 40T of social security wealth, 57% of which is held by bottom 90%
- Conclude that top wealth shares roughly flat since 1989 when including Social Security

- Broader context of inequality literature
- Olarify steps in calculations
- Senumerate/rank key assumptions and show bottom line numbers in "regression" table
- Test method using pension wealth
- Olicy counterfactuals and additional analysis



Recent lit: estimates distribution of key components that are not on individual tax returns

2. Clarify steps for main calculations

The path from simulated earnings sequence, policy rules, allocation, etc is involved. A simple example, enumerated steps, and detailed step-by-step process/example for a specific cohort or two would help

I For each year, estimate aggregate Social Security wealth by cohort

- **()** Simulate **earnings** distribution using cohort-gender-specific parameters θ from Guvenen
- O Apply tax and benefit rules to path of earnings, take present value

$W_{it} = f(\text{mortality rates}, r, \text{benefit}(\text{earnings}(\theta), \text{inflation}), \text{tax}(\text{earnings}(\theta)))$ (1)

- **③** Estimate mean social security wealth \bar{W}_{cgt}
- **(**) Estimate aggregate social security wealth by cohort and year W_{ct}^{agg} using SCF counts

② For each cohort and year, **allocate S.S. wealth** W_{ct}^{agg} between the top 10% and bot 90%

- \bullet In SCF, determine the fraction of the cohort that belongs to the top 10%. E.g., 5%.
- In the SCF, among young retirees, compute the fraction of Social Security wealth that goes to the 5%. Suppose answer is 8%.
- \bigcirc Allocate 8% of the cohort social Security wealth to its top 10%.

3. Enumerate/ rank key assumptions; show "regression-style" table

- Would be helpful to provide list of key assumptions, ranked by quantitative importance
 - **Growing mortality gap** between rich and poor but *uniform mortality rate* for cohort-year (key for correcting flat estate tax series in wealth tax shares)
 - **Growing wage inequality** but some *time-invariant parameters for income process* (best they can do, and estimated within relevant sample so represents "average" conditions)
 - Growing assortative mating, but abstract from survivor benefits (may be small)

• ...

- Provide "regression table" showing key outputs (top shares, aggregate SS wealth, etc) for different combos of inputs and assumptions
- Provide corrected top share graphs with different series for main combos of plausible inputs and assumptions

4. Test allocation method using DC pension wealth in SCF



Sources: Smith, Zidar, and Zwick (2020).

Setup in this paper could be used to do interesting follow up analysis

- Effects of raising retirement age?
- Effects of Biden payroll tax proposal?
- Estimating social security wealth by race (would require income parameters by race-cohort)
- Financial returns by group
- How progressive is the Social security system (y-axis: wealth with SS, x-axis: wealth without SS)? How has the amount of progressivity changed overtime and by cohort?
 - Progressive benefit formula, but rich are living longer and more likely to be married